

ECFS - Email Filing  
<PROCEEDING>96-45  
<DATE>02/08/2005  
<NAME>robert minarik  
<ADDRESS1>5288 N. 1000 S.  
<ADDRESS2>  
<CITY>Rochester  
<STATE>IN  
<ZIP>46975  
<LAW-FIRM>  
<ATTORNEY>  
<FILE-NUMBER>  
<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC  
<PHONE-NUMBER>574-542-9065  
<DESCRIPTION>  
<CONTACT-EMAIL>rlmpfl@culcom.net  
<TEXT>ECFS - Email Filing  
<PROCEEDING>96-45  
<DATE>02/08/2005  
<NAME>robert minarik  
<ADDRESS1>5288 N. 1000 S.  
<ADDRESS2>  
<CITY>Rochester  
<STATE>IN  
<ZIP>46975  
<LAW-FIRM>  
<ATTORNEY>  
<FILE-NUMBER>  
<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC  
<PHONE-NUMBER>574-542-9065  
<DESCRIPTION>  
<CONTACT-EMAIL>rlmpfl@culcom.net  
<TEXT>ECFS - Email Filing  
<PROCEEDING>96-45  
<DATE>02/08/2005  
<NAME>robert minarik  
<ADDRESS1>5288 N. 1000 W.  
<ADDRESS2>  
<CITY>Rochester  
<STATE>IN  
<ZIP>46975  
<LAW-FIRM>  
<ATTORNEY>  
<FILE-NUMBER>  
<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC  
<PHONE-NUMBER>574-542-9065  
<DESCRIPTION>  
<CONTACT-EMAIL>rlmpfl@culcom.net  
<TEXT> I urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would  
change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund.  
I am concerned that this proposal could make my current service  
unaffordable.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, it appears to  
me that people who make few long distance calls would pay the  
same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other  
words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear

the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This would not be fair.

Keep the USF Fair! Thank You!!!

Sincerely,

robert minarik  
5288 N. 1000 W.  
Rochester, Indiana 46975